Canadian Partnership for Quality Radiotherapy

Technical Quality Control Guidelines for Kilovoltage X Ray Radiotherapy Machines

A guidance document on behalf of: Canadian Association of Radiation Oncology Canadian Organization of Medical Physicists Canadian Association of Medical Radiation Technologists

Canadian Partnership Against Cancer

June 29, 2015

KRM.2015.06.02

www.cpqr.ca



CPQR Canadian Partnership for Quality Radiotherapy PCQR Partenariat canadien pour la qualité en radiothérapie

## Disclaimer

All information contained in this document is intended to be used at the discretion of each individual centre to help guide quality and safety program improvement. There are no legal standards supporting this document; specific federal or provincial regulations and licence conditions take precedence over the content of this document. As a living document, the information contained within this document is subject to change at any time without notice. In no event shall the Canadian Partnership for Quality Radiotherapy (CPQR) or its partner associations, the Canadian Association of Radiation Oncology (CARO), the Canadian Organization of Medical Physicists (COMP), and the Canadian Association of Medical Radiation Technologists (CAMRT), be liable for any damages, losses, expenses, or costs whatsoever arising in connection with the use of this document.

## **Expert Reviewer**

Christophe Furstoss CIUSSS de l'Est-de-l'Île-de-Montréal – Hôpital Maisonneuve-Rosemont, Montreal, Quebec

# **External Validation Centres**

BC Cancer Agency – Fraser Valley Centre, Surrey, British Columbia CIUSSS du Centre-Ouest-de-l'Île-de-Montréal – Hôpital général juif, Montreal, Quebec

# Introduction

The Canadian Partnership for Quality Radiotherapy (CPQR) is an alliance amongst the three key national professional organizations involved in the delivery of radiation treatment in Canada: the Canadian Association of Radiation Oncology (CARO), the Canadian Organization of Medical Physicists (COMP), and the Canadian Association of Medical Radiation Technologists (CAMRT). Financial and strategic backing is provided by the federal government through the Canadian Partnership Against Cancer (CPAC), a national resource for advancing cancer prevention and treatment. The mandate of the CPQR is to support the universal availability of high quality and safe radiotherapy for all Canadians through system performance improvement and the development of consensus-based guidelines and indicators to aid in radiation treatment program development and evaluation.

This document contains detailed performance objectives and safety criteria for *Kilovoltage X Ray Radiotherapy Machines*. Please refer to the overarching document *Technical Quality Control Guidelines for Canadian Radiation Treatment Centres*<sup>(1)</sup> for a programmatic overview of technical quality control, and a description of how the performance objectives and criteria listed in this document should be interpreted.

# **System Description**

Kilovoltage radiotherapy units, although eclipsed first by <sup>60</sup>Co irradiators and then by linear accelerators, remain useful in the mix of energies available to a radiotherapy program. Low energy x ray beams have application in the treatment of skin lesions and shallow tumours. The quality assurance program for the kilovoltage units must match the rigor of that for the most modern irradiators and is every bit as important in order to safely deliver an accurate dose to the patient for those lesions they are appropriate to treat.

Application of kilovoltage radiotherapy is divided into two categories based on the chosen tube voltage. The lower energy range (the "superficial" range; x ray tube potentials of 30 kVp or 40 kVp to 100 kVp and tube currents of a few milliamperes) is used to irradiate surface lesions. Filtration of up to 6 mm of Al is added to remove the very low energy photons and "harden the beam." Applicator cones, attached directly to the tube-housing head, are the usual method by which the irradiation area is defined. Variable

### Technical Quality Control Guidelines for Kilovoltage X Ray Radiotherapy Machines Part of the Technical Quality Control Guidelines for Canadian Radiation Treatment Centres Suite

collimators are also available on some units and require additional quality control tasks over those performed for applicators. Irradiation is performed at short source-to-surface distance (SSD) (e.g., less than 20 cm) and the lesion depth must be less than a few millimetres. Therefore, the "kilovoltage" region is selected when surface to shallow lesions are treated. In so doing, tissue greater than that at a moderate depth is spared when treating surface lesions.

"Orthovoltage" therapy refers to radiation treatment obtained with x ray tube potentials in the 100 to 300 kVp range, although 200–300 kVp may be the more practical specification. This deeper radiotherapy tool requires beam currents of up to 20 mA and applied filtration equivalent to produce half-value layer (HVL) values of 1 to 4 mm Cu. Coned applicators or movable diaphragms are used to define these beams. While coned applicators may be constructed mostly of metal (e.g., Cu), they have a clear plastic end to aid in viewing the target region. Hence attention must be given to the integrity of the plastic portion. SSD values of approximately 50 cm are chosen (although a range of 30–50 cm may be required in practice). The depth dose distribution in this range is dependent on factors such as kVp, HVL, SSD, and field size, to name a few. Maximum dose occurs close to the skin, with 90% of the dose being delivered within a tissue depth (water depth) of 2 cm.

Detailed descriptions of various types of kilovoltage x ray radiotherapy machines and various quality control tests have been published in the literature.<sup>(2-27)</sup>

# **Related Technical Quality Control Guidelines**

In order to comprehensively assess kilovoltage x ray radiotherapy machines performance, additional guideline tests, as outlined in related CPQR Technical Quality Control (TQC) guidelines must also be completed and documented, as applicable. Related TQC guidelines, available at cpqr.ca, include:

- Safety Systems
- Major Dosimetry Equipment

# **Test Tables**

### Table 1: Daily Quality Control Tests

Designator	Test	Performance	
		Tolerance	Action
Daily			
DK1	kVp and mA indicators	Functional	
DK2	Beam-off at key-off test	Functional	
DK3	Beam interrupt	Functional	
DK4	Backup timer/monitor unit channel check	1%	2%
DK5	Dosimetric test: output check	2%	4%

#### Notes on Daily Tests

- DK1 Functional check of kVp and mA indicators.
- DK2 Functional check of beam-off at key-off.
- DK3 Functional check of the beam interrupt button (radiation stop and restart when the radiation on button is pressed again).
- DK4 Quantitative verification of correct operation of back-up timer or back-up monitor unit.
- DK5 Quantitative dosimetric test: output reproducibility test at the chosen energies and filter combinations. If the output is stable with time, this test can be done weekly on the condition that there are documents and reports to support it.

#### Table 2: Weekly Quality Control Tests

Designator	Test	Performance	
		Tolerance	Action
Weekly			
WK1	Couch movement and brakes	Functional	
WK2	Unit motions and motion stops	Functional	
WK3	Interlocks for added filters/kVp-filter choice	Functional	
WK4	Dosimetric test: output check	2%	4%

### Notes on Weekly Tests

WK1	Functional check of couch motion and brakes (where applicable).
WK2	Functional check of unit motions and motion stops.
WK3	Functional check of interlocks for added filters, correct placement of filters, and the matching of filters with kVp value.
WK4	Quantitative dosimetric test: output reproducibility test for all energies. This test can be limited to the energies used clinically on the condition that any additional energies cannot be chosen at any time (by removing the corresponding filters from the treatment room, for example).

Designator	Test	Performance	
		Tolerance	Action
Monthly			
MK1	Mechanical stability and safety	Functional	
MK2	Cone/filters integrity and cone indicators	Functional	
MK3	Physical distance indicators	2 mm	3 mm
MK4	Accuracy of head tilt and rotation readouts	1°	1.5°
MK5	Light/x ray field coincidence	2 mm	3 mm
MK6	Light field size	2 mm	3 mm
MK7	X ray field size indicator	2 mm	3 mm
MK8	X ray field uniformity	5%	8%
MK9	Output verification and reproducibility with head tilt and rotation	2%	4%
MK10	Timer accuracy verification	1%	2%
MK11	Dose rate output constancy	2%	4%
MK12	Records	Complete	

### Table 3: Monthly Quality Control Tests

### Notes on Monthly Tests

MK1	Verification that the unit and accessories are firmly anchored and may be used without endangering patients or staff.
MK2	Verification of the integrity of the filters and cones and cone indicators.
МКЗ	Verification of the optical and/or mechanical distance indicator.
MK4	Verification the angle readouts.
MK5	Performance parameters refer to agreement at each edge. This test does not apply to all machine designs.
МКб	Geometric test to verify the light field sizes (where applicable).
MK7	Confirmation of radiation field size when a variable collimation system is provided. At least two field sizes must be checked.
MK8	Using a film, the flatness and symmetry of the x ray beam must be assessed for the largest cone.
МК9	Quantitative dosimetric test: output reproducibility test at all energies with varying head tilt and rotation. <sup>(28)</sup>
MK10	The accuracy of the timer must be checked against a stop watch over a range of doses of 10–1000 cGy.
MK11	Should be checked for all beam qualities for MU-based systems.
MK12	Documentation relating to the daily quality control checks, preventive maintenance, service calls and subsequent checks must be complete, legible, and the operator identified.

Designator	Test	Performance	
		Tolerance	Action
Annual			
AK1	Reference dosimetry	1%	2%
AK2	Timer and end-effect error	Characterize	±0.05 min

## Table 4: Annual Quality Control Tests

### Technical Quality Control Guidelines for Kilovoltage X Ray Radiotherapy Machines Part of the Technical Quality Control Guidelines for Canadian Radiation Treatment Centres Suite

AK3	Output linearity	n/a	1%
AK4	Output reproducibility	2%	3%
AK5	Output error associated with beam interrupt	2%	4%
AK6	Beam quality	10%	15%
AK7	Alignment of focal spots	0.5 mm	1 mm
AK8	Focal spot size	Reproducible	
AK9	Percentage depth dose verification	Characterize and document	
AK10	Profiles verification	Characterize and document	
AK11	Independent quality control review	Complete	

#### Notes on Annual tests

AK1	Using a high quality dosimetry system calibrated against the local secondary standard, all beams and cones in use are recalibrated.
AK2	Timer and end-effect error measurement may be performed in conjunction with AK3.
AK3	Output linearity measurement for a clinically used filter/cone combination at a standard SSD and a dose range of 10–1000 cGy.
AK4	Output reproducibility verification for a clinically used filter/cone combination. These measurements should be repeated at typical tilt and head rotation used for treatments.
AK5	Output error when the beam is interrupted during the irradiation for a clinically used filter/cone combination.
AK6	The HVL of any clinically used beams is measured. The HVLs measured in millimeters of AI or millimeters of Cu as appropriate are compared with the values obtained at commissioning. These tolerances acknowledge measurement uncertainty.
AK7	Focal spot – quantitative measurement, assessed relative to acceptance test value where applicable.
AK8	Using a pin hole or resolution tool.
AK9	Verification of percentage depth dose measurements for all used filter/cone combinations against baseline.

Technical Quality Control Guidelines for Kilovoltage X Ray Radiotherapy Machines Part of the Technical Quality Control Guidelines for Canadian Radiation Treatment Centres Suite

- AK10 Verification of inplane and crossplane profiles at different depths for all used filter/cone combinations against baseline.
- AK11 To ensure redundancy and adequate monitoring, a second qualified medical physicist must independently verify the implementation, analysis, and interpretation of the quality control tests at least annually.

## Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the many people who participated in the production of this guideline. These include: Normand Frenière and David Sasaki (associate editors); the Quality Assurance and Radiation Safety Advisory Committee; the COMP Board of Directors, Erika Brown, and the CPQR Steering Committee, and all individuals that submitted comments during the community review of this guideline.

## References

- Canadian Partnership for Quality Radiotherapy. Technical quality control guidelines for Canadian radiation treatment centres. 2016 May 1. Available from: <u>http://www.cpqr.ca/programs/technicalquality-control</u>
- Amdur RJ, Kalbaugh KJ, Ewald LM, Parsons JT, Mendenhall WM, Bova FJ, Million RR. Radiation therapy for skin cancer near the eye: kilovoltage x-rays versus electrons. Int. J. Radiat. Oncol. Biol. Phys. 1992;23(4):769–79. Available from: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0360-3016(92)90650-7</u>
- 3. Belanger R, Papin PJ, editors. University health physics: health physics society 2003 summer school. Madison (WI): Medical Physics Publishing; 2003. ISBN 978-1930524156.
- Biggs P, Ma CM, Doppke K, Niroomand-Rad A, Beatty J. Kilovoltage x-rays. In: The modern technology of radiation oncology: a compendium for medical physicists and radiation oncologists. Van Dyk J, editor. Madison (WI); Medical Physics Publishing; 1999. p. 287–312. ISBN 978-0944838228.
- 5. British Institute of Radiology. Central axis depth dose data for use in radiotherapy. British Journal of Radiology, Supplement no. 25. London: British Institute of Radiology; 1996. ISBN 978-0905749389.
- Bushong SC. Radiologic science for technologists physics, biology and protection, 7th edition. St. Louis (MO). 2001. ISBN 978-0323013376.
- 7. Canadian Standards Association. Medical electrical equipment. Part 2: particular requirements for the safety of therapeutic x-ray generators CAN/CSA-C22.2 no.601.2.8-92. Rexdale, Ontario. 1992.

- Gerig L, Soubra M, Dalhani D. Beam characteristics of the Therapax DXT300 orthovolotage therapy unit. Phys. Med. Biol. 1994;39(9):1377–92. Available from: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0031-</u> <u>9155/39/9/006</u>
- Government of Ontario. Healing arts radiation protection act. [cited 2016 Jun 07] Toronto (ON): Publications Ontario; 1990. Available from: <u>http://www.e-</u> <u>laws.gov.on.ca/html/statutes/english/elaws\_statutes\_90h02\_e.htm</u>
- International Atomic Energy Agency. International code of practice IAEA technical reports series no. 277. Absorbed dose determination in photon and electron beams. Vienna, Austria: International Atomic Energy Agency; 1987. ISBN 92-0-100597-0.
- International Commission on Radiation Units and Measurements. Report 23: measurement of absorbed dose in a phantom irradiated by a single beam of x or gamma rays. Washington (DC): International Commission on Radiation Units and Measurements; 1973.
- 12. Institute of Physical Sciences in Medicine. Report no. 54: commissioning and quality assurance of linear accelerators. York, England. 1988. ISBN 978-0904181487.
- 13. Khan F. The physics of radiation therapy, second edition, chapter 4. Baltimore (MA): Williams and Wilkins; 1994. ISBN 978-0683045024.
- Klevenhagen SC, Aukett RJ, Harrison RM, Moretti C, Nahum AE, Rosser KE. The IPEMB code of practice for the determination of absorbed dose for x-rays below 300 kV generating potential (0.035 mm Al – 4 mm Cu HVL; 10-300 kV generating potential). Phys. Med. Biol. 1996;41(12):2605– 25. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0031-9155/41/12/002
- Kutcher GJ, Coia L, Gillin M, Hanson WF, Leibel S, Morton RJ, Palta JR, Purdy JA, Reinstein LE, Svensson GK, et al. Comprehensive QA for radiation oncology: report of AAPM Radiation Therapy Committee Task Group 40. Med. Phys. 1994;21(4):581–618. Available from: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1118/1.597316</u>
- Ma CM, Coffey CW, DeWerd LA, Liu C, Nath R, Seltzer SM, Seuntjens JP. Report of Task Group 61: AAPM protocol for 40-300 kV x-ray beam dosimetry in radiotherapy and radiobiology. Med. Phys. 2001;28(6):868–93. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1118/1.1374247
- 17. Mayles WPM, Lake R, McKenzie A, Macaulay EM, Morgan HM, Jordan TJ, Powley SK, editor. Physics aspects of quality control in radiotherapy IPEM report 81. York, England: Institute of Physics and Engineering in Medicine;1999. ISBN 0-904181-91-X.
- 18. Ministry of Health. X-ray equipment in medical diagnosis. Part A: recommended safety procedures for installation and use, safety code 20A. Health Environments and Consumer Safety Branch,

Ministry of Public Works and Government Services, Canada; 2000. Available from: <u>http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca/ewh-semt/pubs/radiation/safety-code\_20-securite/index-eng.php</u>

- National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements. Structural shielding design and evaluation for medical use of x-rays and gamma rays of energies up to 10 MeV, Report no. 49. Washington (DC): NCRP; 1976. ISBN 978-0913392316.
- 20. National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements. Dosimetry of x-ray and gamma-ray beams for radiation therapy in the energy range 10 keV to 50 MeV, report no. 69. Washington (DC): NCRP; 1981. ISBN 978-0913392553.
- 21. National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements. Operational radiation safety program, report no. 127. Washington (DC); 1998. ISBN 978-0929600598.
- 22. Nikolic M, Van Dyk J. Use of a megavoltage constancy meter for orthovoltage quality assurance. Med. Phys. 1993;20(6):1747–50. Available from: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1118/1.596962</u>
- 23. Government of Canada. Radiation emitting devices regulations, C.R.C., c. 1370. [cited 2016 Jun 07]. Minister of Justice; 2001. Available from: <u>http://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/PDF/C.R.C., c. 1370.pdf</u>
- 24. Robins J, et al. Quality assurance for medical linear accelerators. Ontario Medical Physics Association; 1989.
- Roessler CE, editor. Management and administration of radiation safety programs: health physics society 1998 summer school. Madison (WI): Medical Physics Publishing; 1998. ISBN 978-0944838853.
- 26. Videla N, Gillies BA. Ontario standards for quality assurance: cobalt-60 teletherapy units. Toronto (ON): Cancer Care Ontario; 1998.
- 27. World Health Organization. Quality assurance in radiotherapy. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO; 1988. ISBN 978-9241542241.
- 28. Goharian M, Nygren I, Tambasco M, Villarreal-Barajas JE. Angular dependence of the output of a kilovoltage x-ray therapy unit. J. Appl. Clin. Med. Phys. 2010;11(3):276–84.